

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/656,819	09/05/2003	Ming T. Chen	9412		
7590 06/09/2005			EXAMINER		
Robert R. Mallinekrodt			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
Thorpe North &	Western LLP				
P O Box 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3711		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/656,819	CHEN, MING T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen L. Blau	3711		

Advisory Action	10/656,819 CHEN, MING T.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Stephen L. Blau	. 3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension in all Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
B. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered t	because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>6,7 and 10</u> would		arate, timely filed ame	endment		
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> .		·			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	Lau		
		PRIMARY EXAM	MINER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that claims 1-5, 8 and 9 are definite since the specification does not discuss a specific golfer but by a number of golfers is disagreed with. The average ability of a number of golfers can also change over time. On page 13 line 9 in the response it is stated that the radius from the center within which most golfers will hit most shots is usually about half way from the center to either the toe or the heel of the club. The word "usually" itself is an indefinite term therefore the argument leads to indefinitness. In addition one group of golfers my have a different skill than a different group of golfers.

Continuation of 13. Other: The new list of claims and changes to the specification are agreed with and corrects the past deficiencies as commented on in the Office Action dated 15 February 2005 and as such has been entered.